December 30, 2002

Case No.: PHN 17,186 (7790/95)

Serial No.: 09/451,269 Filed: November 30, 1999

Page 3

-- REMARKS --

The present response is in reply to a Non-Final Office Action dated August 29, 2002. Claims 1-6, 11 and 12 are currently pending in the present application with claims 7-10 being withdrawn from consideration.

In the Non-Final Office Action, Examiner Zimmerman rejected pending claims 2, 3, 5 and 6 because of a number of informalities stated by Examiner Zimmerman. The Applicants have cancelled claims 2, 3, 5 and 6 herein. Withdrawal of the objection of claims 2, 3, 5 and 6 is therefore respectfully requested.

Also in the Non-Final Office Action, Examiner Zimmerman rejected pending claims 1-6, 11 and 12 on various grounds. The Applicants respond to each rejection as subsequently recited herein, and respectfully requests reconsideration and further examination of the present application under 37 CFR § 1.112:

A. Claims 2 and 5 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, ¶2 as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the Applicants regard as the invention

The Applicants have cancelled claims 2 and 5 herein. Withdrawal of the rejection of claims 2 and 5 under 35 U.S.C. §112, ¶2 is therefore respectfully requested.

B. Claims 1-6, 11 and 12 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,078,301 to *Renders* et al.

December 30, 2002

Case No.: PHN 17,186 (7790/95)

Serial No.: 09/451,269 Filed: November 30, 1999

Page 4

The Applicants have thoroughly considered Examiner Zimmerman's remarks concerning the patentability of independent claims 1-6, 11 and 12 over *Renders* as well as thoroughly read *Renders*. The Applicants respectfully traverse this 35 U.S.C. §102(b) rejection. The Applicants however have chosen to cancel claims 1-6, 11 and 12 without disclaimer or prejudice to the subject matter of claims 1-16, 11 and 12, and in lieu thereof, the Applicants have added claims 13-15 herein to better differentiate the present invention over *Renders* and the other art of record.

Independent claim 13 recites "a current supply wire having a first portion detached from said front flange and a second portion attached to said front flange". This limitation is supported by the description of FIG. 3 directed to a current supply wire 22 has a first portion detached from front flange 17 and a second portion having a length L attached to front flange 17. By comparison, *Renders* discloses a current supply wire 11 and a current supply wire 15 having a first portion detached from and a second potion attached to a side flange 23 as illustrated in FIGS. 2a-2e. *Renders* further teaches current supply wires having a first portion detached from and a second potion attached to side flanges 23 illustrated in FIGS. 3a-3e and FIGS. 4a-4e, and a side flange 103 illustrated in FIGS. 5a-5c. *Renders* fails to disclose, teach or suggest a current supply wire having a first portion detached from and a second portion attached to a front flange.

Dependent claim 14 and independent claim 15 recite "wherein said beginning portion of said current supply wire is S-shaped by design during a winding of said deflection coil". This limitation is supported by the description of FIGS. 5A-6B directed to arranging a current supply wire 22 in a S-shape by design during a winding of the deflection coil. By comparison, *Renders* fails to disclose, teach or suggest a beginning portion of current supply wire 11 and 15 being S-shaped by design during a winding of the deflection coil.

Withdrawal of the rejection of claims 1-6, 11 and 12 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by *Renders* and an allowance of claims 13-15 are therefore respectfully requested.

December 30, 2002

Case No.: PHN 17,186 (7790/95)

Serial No.: 09/451,269

Filed: November 30, 1999 Page 5

SUMMARY

Examiner Zimmerman's objection and rejections to claims 1-6, 11 and 12 have been obviated by the cancellation of claims 1-6, 11 and 12 herein. The Applicants respectfully submit that claims 13-15 as added herein fully satisfy the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §§ 102, 103 and 112. In view of the foregoing, favorable consideration and early passage to issue of the present application is respectfully requested.

Dated: December 30, 2002

Respectfully submitted, Martinus J.Tops, et al.

U.S. PHILIPS 580 White Plains Road Tarrytown, New York 10591

Phone: (914) 333-9606 Fax: (914) 332-0615 Robert J. Kraus Registration No. 26,358 Attorney for Applicants

CARDINAL LAW GROUP Suite 2000 1603 Orrington Avenue Evanston, Illinois 60201

Phone: (847) 905-7111 Fax: (847) 905-7113 Frank C. Nicholas Registration No. 33,983 Attorney for Applicants